CANCELLED

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3681 /2003**

**PETITIONERS** :

1. Jageshar Ram, S/o Kheduram Sahu, aged 30 years, Occupation-Farming, R/o Village-Sohpur, Police Station & Tahsil-Gurur, District Durg (C.G.)
2. Ghansiya Ram, S/o Kanhaiyaram Gond, R/o Village-Sohpur, Tahsil Gurur, District Durg (C.G.)

-VERSUS-

**RESPONDENTS** :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, D.K.S.Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Durg (C.G.)
3. Additional Collector, Durg (C.G.)
4. Gokulram Sahu, S/o Bisauharam Sahu, R/o Village-Sohpur, Tahsil Gurur, District Durg (C.G.)
5. Gulab Singh, S/o Dhansingh Sahu, R/o Village-Sohpur, Tahsil Gurur, District Durg (C.G.)

P. R. No. 3429/03
Presented by Shri Shukla Geeta
dated 26.11.03

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

**WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.**

XI-HC-22

35

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

W P No. 3681/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **22-3-2004**<br>Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners.<br>Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.<br>Application for urgent hearing is allowed.<br>Heard.<br>The petitioners have filed this petition against the order dated 29-7-2003 passed by the Additional Collector, Durg in case No. 17/A-89/2002-2003 whereby their stay application has been rejected.<br>Shri Shrivastava submits that an appeal along with application for stay was preferred before the Collector and by the impugned order the stay application has been rejected. Therefore, the appeal was listed on various dates for hearing. Counsel submits that he has filed copies of order sheets to that effect as Annexure P-3. He submits that he is not aware about the present position of the appeal. He submits that his purpose would be served if the appeal is directed to be decided as early as possible.<br>Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, it is directed that if the appeal has not yet been decided, the same be decided in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within 3 months from the date of production/receipt of this order.<br>Before parting, it is made clear that so far as respondent no.1 is concerned, no relief has been claimed against him.<br>With these observations, the petition is finally disposed of.<br>M.W.P. No. 3324/2004 also stands disposed of.<br>Certified copy as per rules.<br>**Sd/-**<br>**Fakhruddin**<br>**Judge** | |

[पाठ दोषय

Pathak